

2.2 PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES · 2.2.1(C)(D)

Subroutines, parameters & scope — Mark scheme

30 marks · spec 2.2.1(c)(d)

AO key: AO1 = knowledge · AO2 = application · AO3 = programming / judgement. Code answers are indicative — award for correct logic.

Q	ANSWER	AO	MARKS
1(a)	A function returns a value (1); a procedure carries out a task and need not return a value (1). (2)	AO1	2
1(b)	A parameter is the placeholder in the subroutine definition (1); an argument is the actual value passed in at the call (1). (2)	AO1	2
1(c)	Breaking a program into separate subroutines/modules (1); each doing a specific task (easier to write/test/reuse/maintain) (1). (2)	AO1	2

Q	ANSWER	AO	MARKS
2(a)	5. (1)	AO2	1
2(b)	10. (1)	AO2	1
2(c)	By value passes a copy, so the original is unaffected (1); by reference passes the original (its location), so changes persist after the subroutine ends (1). (2)	AO1	2
2(d)	By reference (1); by value is safer because the subroutine cannot accidentally change the caller's variable (1). (2)	AO2	2

Q	ANSWER	AO	MARKS
3(a)	Global: score (1); local: bonus (1). (2)	AO2	2
3(b)	The part of the program (1); in which a variable can be accessed/used (1). (2)	AO1	2
3(c)	When the procedure addBonus ends/returns. (1)	AO2	1

Q	ANSWER	AO	MARKS
4(a)	Indicative solution (award for logic): <pre>function isEven(n) if n MOD 2 == 0 then return True else return False endif endfunction</pre> Uses MOD 2 (or equivalent even test) (1); returns True when even (1); returns False otherwise (1). (Accept return n MOD 2 == 0 for full marks.) (3)	AO3	3
4(b)	A function, because it returns a value (True/False). (1)	AO1	1

Q	LEVELS-OF-RESPONSE MARK SCHEME (9 MARKS)	AO	MARKS										
5	Mark using the levels descriptors below. AO1 (knowledge of scope/parameters), AO2 (application), AO3 (balanced comparison & conclusion). <table><tr><th>LEVEL</th><th>DESCRIPTOR</th></tr><tr><td>Level 3 (7–9)</td><td>Thorough comparison covering both local/parameters and globals, well applied to reliability/maintainability, with a justified conclusion. Accurate terminology.</td></tr><tr><td>Level 2 (4–6)</td><td>Both sides covered with some development; a basic conclusion.</td></tr><tr><td>Level 1 (1–3)</td><td>A few points, largely one-sided, little development.</td></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>Nothing creditworthy.</td></tr></table> Indicative content (credit any valid point): • Locals/parameters: self-contained subroutines; no accidental interference; no naming clashes; reusable/modular; easier to test & debug; memory freed when subroutine ends. • Globals: accessible everywhere, convenient for a value genuinely needed throughout (e.g. a game score); but any code can change them → harder to trace bugs in large programs; risk of clashes. • Reliability/maintainability: parameters make data flow explicit and testable; overusing globals makes programs fragile. • A justified conclusion (prefer locals/parameters; reserve globals for genuinely shared state).	LEVEL	DESCRIPTOR	Level 3 (7–9)	Thorough comparison covering both local/parameters and globals, well applied to reliability/maintainability, with a justified conclusion . Accurate terminology.	Level 2 (4–6)	Both sides covered with some development; a basic conclusion.	Level 1 (1–3)	A few points, largely one-sided, little development.	0	Nothing creditworthy.	AO1 x3 AO2 x3 AO3 x3	9
LEVEL	DESCRIPTOR												
Level 3 (7–9)	Thorough comparison covering both local/parameters and globals, well applied to reliability/maintainability, with a justified conclusion . Accurate terminology.												
Level 2 (4–6)	Both sides covered with some development; a basic conclusion.												
Level 1 (1–3)	A few points, largely one-sided, little development.												
0	Nothing creditworthy.												

Total for paper: 30 marks