

2.3 ALGORITHMS · 2.3.1(F)

Merge & quick sort

The fast divide-and-conquer sorts. Spec 2.3.1(f).

01 Merge sort

Divide Split in half until single items.

Conquer Merge sorted sub-lists back together.

Complexity $O(n \log n)$ — always.

Memory **Not in place** (needs extra lists).

02 Quick sort

Pivot Choose a pivot value.

Partition Smaller left, larger right; pivot in place.

Recurse Quick sort each part.

Complexity $O(n \log n)$ avg; **$O(n^2)$ worst** (poor pivots).

03 Comparison

Merge Guaranteed $O(n \log n)$; needs **extra memory**; divides by **position**.

Quick Usually fastest; **in place**; divides by **value**; risky worst case.

vs simple Both beat bubble/insertion ($O(n^2)$) on large data.

Both are **divide & conquer** → $O(n \log n)$.

FINAL PASS BEFORE THE EXAM

Rapid exam tips

Six slips on merge/quick sort.

01

Merge splits by **position**; quick splits by **value** (pivot).

02

Both are **$O(n \log n)$** — far better than $O(n^2)$ sorts on big data.

03

Merge sort is **not in place** (extra memory).

04

Quick sort can be **$O(n^2)$** with consistently poor pivots.

05

Both are **divide & conquer**: split into same-type sub-problems, combine.

06

Trace merge with a **split/merge tree**; quick by the **partitions**.